

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Kevin Andreal Light,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civil Action No. 8:11-1578-TLW-JDA
Captain Sharon Middleton; Lt. Luke Lark,)
Defendants.)

ORDER

Plaintiff Kevin Andreal Light (“Plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se*, brings this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. # 1).

The matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment be granted. (Doc. # 56). Objections were due on August 13, 2012. Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. # 56). Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is therefore **GRANTED**. (Doc. # 45). This action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 20, 2012
Florence, South Carolina

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge